

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 TYLER WRIGHT,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 MICHAEL B. SCOMA and SCOMA LAW
7 FIRM, PLLC,

8 Defendants

Case No.: 2:22-cv-01282-APG-NJK

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Directing Entry of
Clerk's Default**

[ECF Nos. 17, 18, 20]

9 On December 27, 2022, Magistrate Judge Koppe recommended that I grant plaintiff
10 Tyler Wright's motions for entry of default against defendants Michael B. Scoma and Scoma
11 Law Firm because they have failed to participate in discovery and failed to comply with court
12 orders. ECF No. 20. The defendants did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de
13 novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts
14 to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings
15 to which objection is made"); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)
16 (en banc) ("the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations
17 de novo *if objection is made*, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)).

18 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation
19 **(ECF No. 20) is accepted** and the plaintiff's motions for entry of clerk's default **(ECF Nos. 17,**
20 **18) are GRANTED.** The clerk of court is instructed to enter default as to defendants Michael B.
21 Scoma and the Scoma Law Firm.

22 DATED this 30th day of January, 2023.



23
ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE